

THE ST. LOUIS REPUBLIC.

PUBLISHED BY GEORGE KNAPP & CO.
 Charles W. Knapp, President and General Manager.
 George L. Allen, Vice President.
 W. B. Carr, Secretary.
 Office: Corner Fourth and Olive Streets.
 (REPUBLIC BUILDING)

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION:
 DAILY AND SUNDAY—SEVEN DOLLARS A WEEK.
 By Mail—In Advance—Postage Prepaid.

One year.....\$42.00
 Six months.....\$24.00
 Three months.....\$12.00
 Any three days except Sunday.....\$3.00
 Sunday, with Mails.....\$2.00
 Special Mail Edition Sunday.....\$1.00
 Sunday Magazine.....\$1.00

BY CARRIER—ST. LOUIS AND SUBURBS.
 Per week, daily only.....6 cents
 Per week, daily and Sunday.....8 cents

Published Monday and Thursday—one year.....\$5.00
 Remit by bank draft, express money order or registered letter.
 Address: THE REPUBLIC,
 St. Louis, Mo.

Rejected communications cannot be returned under any circumstances.

Entered in the Post Office at St. Louis, Mo., as second-class matter.

DOMESTIC POSTAGE. PER COPY.
 Eight, ten and twelve pages.....1 cent
 Sixteen, eighteen and twenty pages.....2 cents
 Twenty-two or twenty-eight pages.....3 cents
 Thirty pages.....4 cents

IN EUROPE:
 The Republic is on file at the following places:
 LONDON—Trafalgar Building, Northumberland Avenue, room 7.
 PARIS—10 Boulevard des Capucines; corner place de l'Opera and 33 Rue Cambon.
 BERLIN—Eckbartsche, 29 Friedrichstrasse.
 TELEPHONE NUMBERS.
 St. Louis, Mo. Main 201 A 65
 Editorial Reception Room.....Main 335 A 60

MONDAY, JANUARY 1, 1906.
 Vol. 98, No. 21, 1906.

STILL ADVANCING

SUNDAY REPUBLIC
 December, 1905, Average

140,072

This is an increase of 15,027
 over Sunday average December, 1904.

It's The Republic Everywhere
 In the Homes of St. Louis.

FAST MAIL NECESSITY.

The Post-Office Department has taken one step in the direction of an improved mail service between St. Louis and the Southwest. This step contemplates a full postal service by early morning train from St. Louis to Monett, a town close to the southwestern extremity of Missouri. The Frisco Railroad system, on whose application the department has acted, recently made request for a full service from St. Louis to Sherman, Tex., with a branch service from Monett to Needles in California, involving a great territory with which St. Louis, the natural market, has no adequate mail facilities. For reasons on which the department has acted, it has been determined that the request of the Frisco System for the complete service asked for cannot at this time be granted. The department is willing, however, to concede a part of the railroad company's demand and allow a full service from St. Louis to Monett.

While it is a fact that, on the theory that half a loaf is better than no bread, the Monett proposition has its value, it is yet a fact that the department will do well to look into the situation much more fully before determining, finally, that the Frisco is to have no fast mail service into the heart of the Southwest.

The group of counties about Springfield, undoubtedly St. Louis territory, have no quick service for St. Louis business mails and newspapers. It is night in Springfield before half of the day's mail gets to that prosperous town over the only direct line. Business mails that ought to be delivered at noon are of necessity held until the next day. The southeastern counties of Kansas, associated with St. Louis in a business way, have need for a better mail service from their metropolis. The western counties of Arkansas, all patrons of St. Louis, need prompter and faster mail trains from this city.

Further down, to the Southwest, in the very heart of the new country, every depot platform is laden with goods from St. Louis mercantile houses and factories, yet there is no fast, early morning train out of St. Louis that will land letters and newspapers in the thriving towns of that region with the promptness that is enjoyed by towns similarly situated in territory that belongs to Chicago and Kansas City.

Every business enterprise in St. Louis has a vital interest in this proposition to get a better mail service over the Frisco. The greatest of the new territories lies that way, and the natural market for all of this rapidly growing region is here in St. Louis. Fast mail service is absolutely essential, not only to the development of this vast trade but to the retention of that which has been built up.

The Post-Office Department has put it up to the Frisco System to inaugurate the beginning of a southwestern fast mail service, and there is good reason for believing that the enterprising management of that railroad will not fail of its full duty to this, its most important client, but the line to Monett will not be sufficient, and it is in proof of this statement that every effort must be made by the St. Louis business interests to bring conviction to the Post-Office Department.

POOR MAN'S PARADISE.

Southwest Texas is the poor man's opportunity, and he is finding it out," declares Mr. J. H. Miller, who is vice president of the St. Louis, Brownsville and Mexican road and an active promoter of development in that part of Texas lying between the Gulf of Mexico and the lower course of the Rio Grande.

From the numbers of homeseekers who have visited the region, and the letters of inquiry received daily, Mr. Miller expects a great influx of new people during the winter and spring, and he predicts that within five years the great ranches there will have been replaced by villages and small farms. The prediction will doubtless be fulfilled, for with irrigation from the Rio Grande, and from its numerous artesian wells, the region is ideal for early

market gardening, while sugar cane is said to grow there more abundantly with less frequent replanting than in any other part of the United States.

HAPPY ST. LOUIS AND THE SOUTHWEST.

An eloquent testimonial to the prosperity and progress of St. Louis and the Southwest is given in the record of the year's transactions of St. Louis banks and trust companies.

The great prosperity and increasing business of these institutions has been the theme of more than one discourse of late and the explanation given for it by the bankers themselves is, mainly, the increased business of St. Louis resulting from the great prosperity and rapid growth of the Southwest.

St. Louis is, indeed, borne steadily upward and forward by the mighty impulse of southwestern development which grows stronger year by year and which will recreate the magnificent domain lying between St. Louis and the Rio Grande before it ceases to gain force.

A new empire is rising in that region, and it is rising fast. Looking only at the country west of the Mississippi there are already considerably more than ten millions of people in the four States of Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana and Texas and in the Indian Territory and Oklahoma. Probably the full number is nearer twelve millions.

They are all employed in gainful occupations and are prosperous as they never were before. Their staple crops of cotton, sugar and rice are enough to make their farmers rich, and their agriculture is experiencing a diversification which promises in no great time to make its side products more valuable than its staples.

But agriculture is only the foundation of a greater industrial prosperity that is coming. New mines and factories are brought into operation every year, and though these industries are still in their infancy they show all the signs of lusty growth. When fully developed, they add their contributions to those of agriculture, the wealth of the Northeast will be like poverty by comparison with the wealth of the Southwest.

The entire Southwest, like the Southeast, pulses with a new life and ambition which are inspiring. The people are just waking fully to the immense possibilities of their incomparable domain—the as yet almost untouched resources of its agriculture, its timber and its minerals, its wealth of raw materials for manufacturing industries and its advantages for converting them at home.

The old, quiet contentment with the riches of the soil is gone, and the Southwest is stirring to get cotton mills, to convert its timber into wagons, furniture and utensils, to uncover its deposits of coal, zinc and iron. It is headed straight for an era of industrial and commercial activity that will build new cities and double and quadruple the population of old ones. And chief of them all will be St. Louis.

What the growth of the Southwest has done for St. Louis in the last year or two is partly shown in the increase by \$32,000,000 of the city's bank assets since 1903 and in the increase of more than a quarter of a million dollars in its bank dividends over those of the World's Fair year, by the increase of \$104,000,000 in bank clearings over the totals of 1904 and of \$387,000,000 over those of 1903.

While New York is begging for money, St. Louis already has eight or ten millions of dollars loaned there, and the Southwest is sending up to us other millions. New York may go on being the financial clearing-house, but St. Louis is fast becoming the center of the country's real wealth.

STATEHOOD AND SUGAR.

The omnibus statehood bill and the Philippines tariff bill will probably occupy much of the time of the House after the holidays, for on both measures there are Republican insurgents who want to make trouble.

It is true that enough of the statehood insurgents have promised to be good to satisfy the Speaker that the Hamilton bill will go through without much obstruction, but the reconvening of Congress is likely to find the sugar insurgents still in rebellious mood.

For this reason, it is said, Speaker Cannon desires that the statehood bill be taken up first. Acting upon Mr. Tawney's advice the insurgents will probably not make much of a fight against it in the House, but if Senator Foraker and Senator Beveridge adhere to their declarations already made, there will be a stubborn fight in the Senate over the omnibus bill which Beveridge will report from the committee on Territories.

With Mr. Beveridge swearing that no other statehood bill shall pass the Senate, and Mr. Foraker swearing as emphatically that the Beveridge bill shall not pass, there may be a long deadlock. Every Western and Southwestern Senator in Washington will best represent his constituents by joining forces with Senator Foraker to drive Mr. Beveridge from the false position he has assumed.

The case of the Twin Territories is wholly different from that of Arizona and New Mexico, and they should be presented separately. Mr. Beveridge's domineering effort to drag them in as one State is an outrage which upon every consideration of political decency ought to be defeated.

TO BREAK OUR COTTON MONOPOLY.

At the conference of the Southern Cotton Association to be held in New Orleans next month it will be worth while to give some attention to the often repeated stories that foreign buyers of cotton are looking anxiously about the world for other sources of supply.

The advancing prices of the American crop and the uncertainties growing out of the speculative manipulation make them anxious to be independent of us. This they probably will not be able to accomplish, for nowhere but in the cotton belt of the United States has good cotton yet been grown in sufficient quantities. Egyptian cotton is better than ours, but there is not enough of it. The short-staple cotton of India is not so good.

But our foreign customers are doing their best to break our monopoly. In retaliation for our high tariff against her manufactured goods, Germany would rather get her cotton anywhere else. She buys from us only because she must. If she can develop the cotton-growing industry in her African colonies she will certainly do it.

The principal business before the November meeting of the British Cotton Growing Association, as we learn from a consular report, was soliciting funds to carry on the work of cotton growing in the British colonies. At this meeting it was reported that cotton is raised in every available part of the world under British influence.

Ten thousand bales were received from one district in Africa last year, but the present year's report will show a large increase. In the West India the association has distributed twenty-eight gold and silver medals to growers, and the Government has agreed to give diplomas to stimulate the production of cotton there and the natives are to be financed in their planting operations.

It does not seem likely that England or Germany can ever get all the cotton it needs from any other source but America, but there is no telling what

may be the outcome of their persistent efforts. It is best not to push them too hard.

We can retain our monopoly by a fair adjustment of relations between planter and grower. In considering the question of savings to be planted in 1906, members of the New Orleans conference may well ask one another whether it is not better policy to aim at moderate profit on a crop large enough for all needs than at a large profit on a crop that yields hardly enough.

The ideal condition of the cotton-growing industry would be attained if the planter could ascertain the quantity of cotton that will be needed, grow that much and hold it for fair prices. This can never be done exactly, but it may be approximated, the only factor in the problem entirely uncertain being the nature of the crop season.

In its adaptability to cotton growing, the South has an asset of such enormous value that it should be harnessed with long-headed foresight. The aim should be to make a crop of 20,000,000 bales at as good a profit per bale as that now earned by a crop of 10,000,000 or 12,000,000 bales. The way the world's demand for cotton is growing now it can be done in no great while by pursuing a wise and well-informed policy.

From the annual report of the Panama Canal Commission it appears that most of the food for the 17,000 men employed there is carried from New York in the commission's steamers, which are fitted with refrigerator plants for perishable products. The base of supply would not be so far away if the ships sailed from New Orleans, especially since most of the meats and breadstuffs they carry are grown in the Mississippi Valley.

The holiday teachers' meetings of Missouri, Arkansas and Texas all show that the "controlling passion for education" is as strong in the Southwest as in the Middle West. Many of the addresses in these meetings give evidence that while much has been done the teachers are not satisfied to stop where they are. Their appeals for still greater efficiency in the public-school system should bring results.

Secretary Shaw's appeal to Western bankers to have mercy on Wall street hath a strange and unfamiliar sound. But as the greater part of the wealth of the country is created this side of the Allegheny Mountains it is not so strange that we have of late had a great deal of money to lend to Wall street, and the financiers in that alley ought to have known that we would want it back.

The discovery of a second rich vein of nearly pure graphite in Crawford County, Arkansas, means another industry for the Western part of the State, which is already affected with an acute case of boom fever threatening to become chronic.

RECENT COMMENT

The Movement for American Music.

There is no more singular phase of musical life in America than the inconsistency of our attitude toward native effort. It is no exaggeration to say that millions of dollars are spent annually upon the education of American musicians, yet since their education is complete and they are fitted to undertake creative work, these musicians whom we train so anxiously find themselves in the anomalous and disheartening situation of producers without a market; for we deny them practically any opportunity for hearing their works performed. Occasionally someone graciously sings an American song, but how many times, during a musical season that lasts for half a year, does one hear an American sonata or orchestral score performed?

The embargo affects both American writers whose acknowledged ability and those younger writers whose work awaits general recognition; against the first it operates by practically ignoring whatever achievements they may have put to their credit; against the second by refusing them any opportunity to demonstrate their capacities.

One need hold no indiscriminate brief for American music to lament these things. It is possible to deplore them with a full consciousness of the fact that such an effort, such an effort is being made by the American label, and without attempting, in the phrase of Mr. Philip Hale, to "cover mediocrity with a cloak of patriotism." The point to insist upon is that present conditions in the American musical world, so far as they govern the activity of American composers, are hostile to the development of a vigorous creative art; and that until the situation is bettered, and American works are given a just representation in our concert halls, there is need of some form of organized cooperative effort. Such an effort is being made by the New Music Society of America, which has recently come into being for the excellent purpose of creating conditions more favorable than the present ones for the artistic activity of the American composer, and with the immediate object of promoting performances of serious new works of native origin. A unique feature of the new organization is that it will have the cooperation of the Russian Symphony Orchestra and its conductor, Mr. Modest Atchulver, the Russian society of composers and the association of the American public's support of its concerts, and its residence to cooperate with the New Music Society of America in its endeavor to further the best interests of creative music in this country. Although the chief object of the society will be the production of interesting and important novelties by native composers, the opportunity presented by the co-operation of the Russian Symphony Orchestra will be improved by the performance of American compositions that have already won recognition.

Profit by Mistake.

New Year's is upon us again. Let us take up the line of march and make the best progress we can through another year. Humanity does not shape an edifying career by a day's history spent out in the newspaper, but it is not ideal, but it will answer, if so be we can keep pointed in the right direction and proceed in a sagacious spirit, sharing the road with the rest of the folk, and not less compassionate of their deviations than of our own. The greatest goals that men have reached have been reached by being stronger than their mistakes. So it was with Lincoln; so with Washington. The great difference between warfarers, besides the disparity in locomotive power, is that some manage to hold to the right direction and to maintain in spite of blunders the essential spirit. That sort invariably get somewhere where it is worth while to arrive. For the others, speed is nothing if the direction is not right. And to carry along a great load of baggage is far less advantageous than it might be if our job was a permanent one, and if every man of us was not under contract to drop every shred he has and run whenever his hour strikes.

Reckless.

It was the last of January when a stranger entered the office of Pushy's Monthly Magazine. "Gracious, but it is hot in here!" he remarked to a man in his shirt sleeves, who was mopping his face with a handkerchief. "Some," was the terse reply of the man who was no other than the famous editor himself. "What are all those flowers straw hats and palm-leaf fans scattered about for?" "Oh, to give a touch of realism," we are now preparing our great Midsummer Fiction Number," was the great editor's kindly reply.

Never Washed Once.

The farmer folk of Iowa say that while Edwin H. Kane, the New York artist, may know all about painting, he evidently hasn't been much abroad for a year or two, or he never would have put the driver on the right side, instead of the left, as he has done in the mural decoration he has just placed in the State Capitol at Des Moines. The commission which is in charge of the work is reported as being really in doubt as to whether it should correct the work so it stands.

MINING MARKED ADVANCEMENT

Improved Methods Increase Output of Gold in Western States to Remarkable Extent, Old Claims Which Were Abandoned Now Being Worked With Profit—California, Pioneer Gold State, Continues to Produce More of the Precious Metal Every Year.

NEED CAPITAL FOR INVESTMENT IN UNDEVELOPED CLAIMS.

At present forty-five substances of the mineral world, and the value of the product of the State now reaches nearly forty-four millions of dollars a year. This includes precious metals, nonmetallic substances, agricultural and structural materials. Last year the increase in value of all these things over the previous year was more than 100 per cent. CALIFORNIA'S PETROLEUM YIELD. Nearly thirty million barrels of petroleum are now produced in the State every year. California now leads all other States in output of this product, and is second in output of oil. The output of oil in 1905 was 2,000,000 barrels, and the output of gas was 1,000,000 barrels. The output of oil in 1904 was 1,500,000 barrels, and the output of gas was 800,000 barrels. The output of oil in 1903 was 1,000,000 barrels, and the output of gas was 600,000 barrels. The output of oil in 1902 was 800,000 barrels, and the output of gas was 500,000 barrels. The output of oil in 1901 was 600,000 barrels, and the output of gas was 400,000 barrels. The output of oil in 1900 was 400,000 barrels, and the output of gas was 300,000 barrels. The output of oil in 1899 was 300,000 barrels, and the output of gas was 200,000 barrels. The output of oil in 1898 was 200,000 barrels, and the output of gas was 100,000 barrels. The output of oil in 1897 was 100,000 barrels, and the output of gas was 50,000 barrels. The output of oil in 1896 was 50,000 barrels, and the output of gas was 25,000 barrels. The output of oil in 1895 was 25,000 barrels, and the output of gas was 12,500 barrels. The output of oil in 1894 was 12,500 barrels, and the output of gas was 6,250 barrels. The output of oil in 1893 was 6,250 barrels, and the output of gas was 3,125 barrels. The output of oil in 1892 was 3,125 barrels, and the output of gas was 1,562 barrels. The output of oil in 1891 was 1,562 barrels, and the output of gas was 781 barrels. The output of oil in 1890 was 781 barrels, and the output of gas was 390 barrels. The output of oil in 1889 was 390 barrels, and the output of gas was 195 barrels. The output of oil in 1888 was 195 barrels, and the output of gas was 97 barrels. The output of oil in 1887 was 97 barrels, and the output of gas was 48 barrels. The output of oil in 1886 was 48 barrels, and the output of gas was 24 barrels. The output of oil in 1885 was 24 barrels, and the output of gas was 12 barrels. The output of oil in 1884 was 12 barrels, and the output of gas was 6 barrels. The output of oil in 1883 was 6 barrels, and the output of gas was 3 barrels. The output of oil in 1882 was 3 barrels, and the output of gas was 1 barrel. The output of oil in 1881 was 1 barrel, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1880 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1879 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1878 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1877 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1876 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1875 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1874 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1873 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1872 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1871 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1870 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1869 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1868 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1867 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1866 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1865 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1864 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1863 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1862 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1861 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1860 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1859 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1858 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1857 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1856 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1855 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1854 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1853 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1852 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1851 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1850 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1849 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1848 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1847 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1846 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1845 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1844 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1843 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1842 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1841 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1840 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1839 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1838 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1837 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1836 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1835 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1834 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1833 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1832 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1831 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1830 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1829 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1828 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1827 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1826 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1825 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1824 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1823 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1822 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1821 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1820 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1819 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1818 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1817 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1816 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1815 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1814 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1813 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1812 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1811 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1810 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1809 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1808 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1807 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1806 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1805 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1804 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1803 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1802 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1801 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1800 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1799 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1798 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1797 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1796 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1795 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1794 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1793 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1792 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1791 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1790 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1789 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1788 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1787 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1786 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1785 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1784 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1783 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1782 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1781 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1780 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1779 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1778 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1777 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1776 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1775 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1774 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1773 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1772 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1771 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1770 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1769 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1768 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1767 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1766 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1765 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1764 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1763 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1762 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1761 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1760 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1759 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1758 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1757 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1756 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1755 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1754 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1753 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1752 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1751 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1750 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1749 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1748 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1747 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1746 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1745 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1744 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1743 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1742 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1741 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1740 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1739 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1738 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1737 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1736 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1735 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1734 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1733 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1732 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1731 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1730 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1729 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1728 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1727 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1726 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1725 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1724 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1723 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1722 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1721 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1720 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1719 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1718 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1717 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1716 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1715 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1714 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1713 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1712 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1711 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1710 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1709 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1708 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1707 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1706 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was 0 barrels. The output of oil in 1705 was 0 barrels, and the output of gas was